For the Northern District of California

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

26

1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
6		
7		
8	ORACLE USA, INC., et al.,	
9	Plaintiffs,	No. C 07-1658 PJH
10	V.	ORDER
11	SAP AG, et al.,	
12	Defendants.	
13		/
14	The court has reviewed so	ome of the pretrial filings, and it is app

arent that some will need to be revised, given the denial of Oracle's motions for clarification/reconsideration. Since no evidence relating to the hypothetical license measure of damages will be permitted at the upcoming trial, the exhibit lists and witness lists (at a minimum) must be revised. The revised papers should be filed prior to the pretrial conference, if possible, but in any event no later than two weeks from the date of this order.

21 IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 18, 2012

PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON United States District Judge

24 25

27 28